

freedoms. I have often said, every time you increase regulation, you take away a degree of individual freedoms. That is exactly what they have done.

So we have an administration which now says to the farmers, don't worry, we are going to exempt you; you are not going to be affected by this. Then they went to the U.S. Conference of Mayors—and I have to say that I used to be the token conservative on the board of directors of the U.S. Conference of Mayors when I was mayor of Tulsa, OK. It's not really a conservative operation. Yet, they voted, in San Francisco, overwhelmingly, to reject these standards, and these are the mostly Democrats talking, not Republicans.

Why are they concerned about it? They are concerned about it because they know if we bring these standards down, those mayors are going to be running cities that will be out of attainment. This will be another, probably the most severe, of what they call the unfunded mandates that has been out there.

The administration also tried to single out small business, to say this is not going to affect small business. They even said that to one of the Congressmen from Louisiana: Well, you have seven parishes, but don't worry, we won't make you do anything, we'll get the people to the west so when the air flows over it is going to clean up your air. So it has been a very dishonest campaign by the administration. I really believe during the August recess we are going to be able to show the American people what this is really all about.

Last year we passed two significant laws. One is called SBREFA, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Act—SBREFA. The thrust of this bill is you can't pass a new rule, a new regulation, unless you explain its effect on small business. So, during one of our committee meetings, we asked the Director of the EPA, "Why is it that you have not explained what the effect of this will be on small business?" The response was, "There is no effect on small business."

I can assure you, Mr. President, all these farms that are small businesses—I can assure you, any small business that has an electric bill, when they say this is going to increase the electric bills by somewhere between 8 and 10 percent, that's an impact on small business. The response of the EPA is, "Wait a minute, all we are saying to the States is you have to come into attainment. You have to figure out how to do it. And whatever you do to your citizens to make that happen is your responsibility. So we—the EPA—are not the ones saying we are imposing a hardship."

We passed another bill, the unfunded mandates bill, that says we cannot pass regulations here that result in an unfunded mandate to political subdivisions below the Federal Government. Consequently, I can assure you, the

U.S. Conference of Mayors, the National Association of Governors, and the National Association of State Legislators, the National League of Cities and all these groups that are so concerned about this, they know exactly what an unfunded mandate is.

I anticipate, when the time comes that these standards are put into effect, or set, that there are going to be some lawsuits. I think the American Truckers Association already stated they are going to be suing the EPA. So my concern is, with all these lawsuits that will take place, that we resolve this issue to some satisfaction now, before we get locked in endless litigation, the best way to avoid this happening, the best way to avoid these arbitrary, onerous, and unjustified regulations, would be to go ahead and pass this legislation, which is S. 1084.

I believe S. 1084 and H.R. 1984 will be passed, and I think they will be passed with a large enough margin to sustain a veto.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

BALANCED BUDGET ACT OF 1997— CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. DOMENICI. Under the previous unanimous-consent order, I assume we are on the budget bill at 12 o'clock?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The committee on conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 2015 having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses this report, signed by a majority of the conferees.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the Senate will proceed to the consideration of the conference report.

(The conference report is printed in the House proceedings of the RECORD of July 29, 1997.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Who seeks recognition?

Mr. LAUTENBERG. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, parliamentary inquiry. How is the time being charged?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The time under the quorum call was charged to the Senator from New Jersey who asked for the quorum call.

Mr. DOMENICI. He asked for it. That is not fair. Can we do this: I ask unanimous consent that we charge the time that has elapsed equally to both sides and, henceforth, on the quorum call I am going to ask for right now, it be charged equally also.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOMENICI. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECESS

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that we stand in recess until the hour of 1 o'clock, and that the time continue to run on the conference report pursuant to the Budget Act, and it be charged equally.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Thereupon, at 12:29 p.m., the Senate recessed until 1 p.m.; whereupon, the Senate reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. HAGEL).

BALANCED BUDGET ACT OF 1997— CONFERENCE REPORT

The Senate continued with the consideration of the conference report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Who yields time?

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum and ask unanimous consent that it be charged equally.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. COATS). Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I understand Senator GRAMS would like to speak for up to 10 minutes. I yield him that time off the bill from our side of the 10 hours.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Minnesota is recognized to speak for up to 10 minutes.

Mr. GRAMS. Mr. President, I want to give my congratulations to the chairman of the Budget Committee and all the others who have worked so hard over the last couple of weeks to work out an especially very important tax package, which I believe is going to be a step in the right direction of relieving some of the tax burden placed on American families over the last several years.

So with that, Mr. President, I rise to express my strong support for the tax relief package that will be coming before the Senate tomorrow. I want to take this opportunity, again, to commend and thank the majority leader,